

Representation in Partial Support	
1.	<p>I would like partially to support these proposed changes, the need for which has arisen from the development on the land formerly known as the Bert Keech Bowling Club on Sycamore Place. This response is based on being a resident of Sycamore Place and business owner of a guest house.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. As a result of planning permission being granted for this development, your proposal 1 is suggesting that 10 metres of the present parking spaces are removed. Since this is going to be an entrance to one house only, I do not understand why it requires 10 metres and would suggest that this takes more parking than is required from an area already under pressure. 2. I strongly agree this proposal which supports the planning permission granted for the development which states that the residents of the new development should not have access either to residents or guest on-street parking permits. 3. I support this proposal <u>subject to proposal 4. being agreed</u> as it restores to the residents parking space which has been used for guest houses in the past. While we objected to the proposal to make these spaces Community Parking earlier in the year, this was only pending a longer term solution to the “GM” provision in the area. This solution is now proposed at 4. <p>If 4. is not agreed, I would request that the status quo is maintained, pending alternative options being presented for relocation of the Guest House and Multiple Occupancy parking.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 4. I support this proposal as the best option for relocating the Guest House and Multiple Occupancy parking which is lost as a result of the Bert Keech development and the GM spaces already removed from Bootham Terrace earlier in the year, plus those to be removed under 3. above. <p>Key to the location is that the spaces proposed are opposite to, not directly outside the home of any resident (as is the case with the current GM provision on Sycamore Place and Bootham Terrace). There is no other space on Sycamore Place or Sycamore Terrace that is not directly outside a house/flat.</p>

If this proposal is agreed, there will have been an overall reduction in GM spaces of the equivalent of 8 vehicles and a restoration of space for 3. This will mean a net increase of 5 spaces for Residents Priority and will only just provide enough parking for the two guest houses in Sycamore Place.

I would therefore additionally request that the GM areas are clearly marked, with both street signage and road markings, otherwise we run the risk of residents inadvertently parking in the spaces and being subject to parking penalties and, if this were to happen, we would have insufficient spaces for our business. I would like to note that we pay approximately four times the rate of a residents' permits for the right to use the GM spaces.

5. I support this proposal as it provides additional parking spaces for the R33 area where there is already heavy demand.

If any objections are received relating to the amendments to the GM provision, I would like to request the opportunity to speak at the meeting when Members consider the proposal.

I would also like to request that a full copy of this letter is presented to Members.

Representations of Objection

2. I am writing to object to the proposed parking plans affecting Sycamore Terrace/Zone R33 in York.

It is proposed that three residential parking bays at the South East end of Sycamore Terrace will be re-assigned exclusively to guest house parking. I would like to object to proposed plans for the following reasons.

- 1) The guest house is not positioned on Sycamore Terrace.
- 2) Residential parking at the South East end of Sycamore Terrace is extremely busy throughout the day/week (please see enclosed recent images taken to support). In contrast, parking on Sycamore Place is not as busy (please see enclosed recent images to support). To add restrictions to residential parking in Sycamore

Terrace would have a greater impact on congestion in the zone.

3) There are alternative options which could offer a better solution for all. Instead of re-designating guest house parking in Longfield Terrace to new residential parking, it could offer a good solution for the Sycamore Place guest house parking. This would keep the Longfield Terrace bays in a similar restriction to those the zone has been used to and allow the spaces in Sycamore Terrace to remain residential and causing less impact on the zone. There are also parking bays on Sycamore Place that are often vacant (see attached enclosed images to support) so could more easily cope with restrictions that the busier Sycamore Terrace. I urge planners to consider these options over Sycamore Terrace as it is the same street as the guest house is on.

Photo provided showing full use of household space on Sycamore Terrace



3. A change to reduce Household Permit parking in Sycamore Terrace will have a permanent and daily adverse impact on residents of Sycamore Terrace. The three parking spaces are proposed to be allocated to Sycamore Place Guest Houses are needed and used daily by Sycamore Terrace residents; the Victorian terraced houses are wide enough to take one vehicle parked outside them on the street and many households have two cars so these three parking spaces are always used. Last night, for example, I returned in my car to Sycamore Terrace at 19.15 hours and the only available parking space was one of the spaces that are being proposed to change to Guest House parking, so I was able to park there. If the proposed changes are implemented in Sycamore Terrace then it would be difficult to know where to park especially when returning in the

evening. Sycamore Terrace Residents need to be able to load/unload near their house. Residents need to manage children and elderly in and out of their cars near their house, not in another street.

I realize Sycamore Place Guest Houses may need to find two more parking spaces and the No waiting area in Longfield Terrace and or the existing Guest House and Multiple occupancy area in Bootham Terrace (both indicated in document DH/AGB/TRO471) could be given to Sycamore Place Guest Houses for their use; their guest are temporary and short duration. Residents of Longfield Terrace and Bootham Terrace will remain as they are now before any proposed changes so they will not be at all affected by their parking allocation if one or both these areas are allocated to Sycamore Place Guest House use.

Another option that would provide additional parking for Sycamore Place Guest Houses is to allocate two or three parking spaces in Marygate car park for their use; there is capacity in Marygate car park for this.

Thanking you in advance for your kind attention to my letter of objection to the proposed parking changes to Sycamore Terrace in particular.

4. I write to oppose the proposed changes to traffic restrictions - Bootham Terrace, Longfield Terrace, Sycamore Place & Sycamore Terrace, York.

We, like many houses on Sycamore Terrace, did not receive a letter informing us of these proposed changes, which impact significantly upon the parking availability outside our dwelling.

After taking the time to review the proposed changes, I wish to oppose these, for the following reasons:

- Significantly reduced availability of parking on Sycamore terrace for residents (negative 3 spaces on Sycamore Terrace) for R33 badge holders and visitors

- Future increased cars parking down both alleyways outside No2 &

	<p>No1 Sycamore terrace - a frequent occurrence at present</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Increased pressure on wellbeing for residents with R33 badges, not all of whom are able bodied, who will have significant issues in mobility with additional distance and stress each day looking for a parking space - Both Guest Houses in question are not sited on Sycamore Terrace, however reside on Sycamore Place <p>I would like for the above reasons to suggest an alternative to the proposed plan, and in particular the re-zoning of the 3 spaces on Sycamore Terrace. These would remain as they are currently, the proposed new spaces being made available on Longfield Terrace should be made into R33GM rather than R33 bays. This will still allow for B&B Guests to park close to the temporary place of stay - if (unlike many) they do not travel by train.</p> <p>Along with points raised, since the notice went up on Sycamore terrace informing residents of the proposed changes, I have gathered several photos at different times of day during the week to show the impact of this proposed change. You will see currently that the bays in question are very busy and frequently full at present.</p> <p>I do look forward to hearing back from you soon, but must re-iterate that the proposed plan will have a large impact on residents living on Sycamore terrace with a R33 badge, as a community I feel the residents of the street should be able to park on the same street as where they habit and are part of the community.</p> <p><i>(Photographs were provided, but not copied into the report because they were very similar in days and times to those represented in Annex C1)</i></p>
5.	See Annex C1 for additional objection with extensive photographic evidence